## UC Riverside, School of Medicine Policies and Procedures

Policy Title: Policy for Defining the Degree of Stakes of Assessments and Required Student Improvement

Plans in Courses

**Policy Number: 950-06-039** 

Responsible Officer:	Senior Associate Dean for Medical Education	
Responsible Office:	Office of Medical Education	
Origination Date:	07/2022	
Date of Revision:	08/18/2022, 01/18/2024	
Scope:	Administration, Faculty, Students	

# I. Policy Summary

The score from an assessment (e.g., test, quiz, oral exam, OSCE, etc.) can be used to give feedback to students (e.g., formative assessment); and/or to confirm that sufficient learning or competency has been achieved by the student to meet required quality standards and to enable the allocation of a letter or numerical grade or promotion to a new level (e.g., summative assessment).

An assessment can have different consequences and responsibilities for the student, course director, and medical school depending on how the results are used. The next section establishes these consequences and responsibilities.

The purpose of this policy is to define the degree of stakes of assessments in courses, and to establish a system with required improvement plans for students linked to those stakes.

The Definitions section establishes these consequences and responsibilities.

#### II. Definitions

# A. Definition of No, Low, Medium and High-Stakes Assessments

Degree of Stakes	Definition	Weighting Toward Overall Course Grade	Improvement plan for poor/failing performance	Responsibility for outcome*
No Stakes	Assessment is scored strictly for student feedback only.	None	Encourage student self-reflection	All students should use results as feedback for learning & growth.
Low Stakes#	Assessment is scored mostly for student feedback and has minor impact on a	A single assessment which is worth >0 – 10% of	1 low stakes assessment in course: Encourage student self- reflection	All students should use results as feedback for learning & growth.

Policy Number: 950-06-039

Degree of Stakes	Definition	Weighting Toward Overall Course Grade	Improvement plan for poor/failing performance	Responsibility for outcome*
	student's overall course grade.	total course grade.  -OR-  Multiple low stakes assessments which together are worth >0  - 25% of total course grade.	<sup>3</sup> 2 low stakes assessments in a course: Remediation is required (see column to right)	Student not passing 1 low stakes assessment in course: Student should use results as feedback for learning & growth.  Students not passing 2 low stakes assessments in a course: Student must meet with the Director of the Office for Student Success to formulate remediation plan.
Medium Stakes#	Assessment is scored for student feedback but also has moderate impact on a student's overall course grade.	A single assessment which is worth 26 – 49% of total course grade.  Two medium stakes assessments added together up to be worth ³50% of the total course grade and together would be considered high stakes.	31 medium stakes assessment in course: Remediation required (see column to right)	All students should use results as feedback for learning & growth.  Student not passing 1 medium stakes assessment in course: Student must meet with the Director of the Office for Student Success to formulate remediation plan.

**Policy Number:** 950-06-039

Degree of Stakes	Definition	Weighting Toward Overall Course Grade	Improvement plan for poor/failing performance	Responsibility for outcome*
High Stakes#	Assessment is scored for student feedback but also has major consequences for the student (e.g., major basis of a course grade or decision for level promotion).	A single assessment which is worth 50% to 75% of total course grade.  No single high stakes assessment can be worth >75% of the total course grade.	31 high stakes assessment in course: Remediation required (see column to right)	All students should use results as feedback for learning & growth.  Students not passing ³1 high stakes assessment in course: Student must meet with the Director of the Office for Student Success to formulate remediation plan.  If the student marginally passes (e.g., normally a score ≤79%) the high stakes assessment, the student must meet with the Director of the Office for Student Success to formulate remediation plan.

<sup>#</sup> If a director requires a passing score of any low or medium stakes assessment(s) to pass the course, that assessment is officially considered a high stakes exam. Furthermore, assessments with large standard deviations can cause the weight of that assessment toward the final grade to be more than intended. All course assessment rubrics must be reviewed initially (and again if any changes are made) by the Assessment and Evaluation Team and approved by the Pre-Clerkship Curriculum Subcommittee before the start of each course every academic year.

## III. Policy Text

See Policy Summary and Definitions sections.

#### IV. Related Information

LCME Element 9.7 Formative Assessment and Feedback Policy For Required Developmental Assessment Plans For All Pre-Clerkship Courses (950-06-001)

<sup>\*</sup> For Y1 and Y2 classes, the lowest quiz score is dropped when formulating the final grade for the block course. Dropping the lowest quiz does not change the quiz failure criteria indicating the need for a remediation planning meeting between the student and the Office of Student Success.

**Policy Number:** 950-06-039 Approvals: Medical Education Committee (08/18/2022) DocuSigned by: Pablo Joo 1/30/2024 | 11:57 AM PST PABLO JOO., MD, DATE SENIOR ASSOCIATE DEAN, MEDICAL EDUCATION SCHOOL OF MEDICINE DocuSigned by: Paul Hackman 1/29/2024 | 8:24 AM PST PAUL HACKMAN, J.D., L.LM. DATE CHIEF COMPLIANCE AND PRIVACY OFFICER, SCHOOL OF MEDICINE DocuSigned by: Deborale Deas 1/31/2024 | 3:15 PM PST DEBORAH DEAS, M.D., M.P.H DATE VICE CHANCELLOR, HEALTH SCIENCES DEAN, SCHOOL OF MEDICINE